Mr. Andre Toliver, CDC# K-27561 Kern Valley State Prison Facility A-2-122 Post Office Box 5101 Delano, CA 93216-5101.,



Petitioner In Pro Se.

ANDRE TOLIVER,

A. J. MALFI, Warden,

5 6

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

16

17 18

19

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

-

Petitioner,

Respondent.

No. C 07-2744 WHA (PR)

MOTION FOR THE MAGISTRATE
JUDGE TO ACT BY ORDERING
A EVIDENTIARY HEARING BE
CONDUCTED WHILE ADJUDICATE
OF THE MERITS TO PETITION'S
RAISED GROUND IV CLAIM;
ALTERNATIVELY, ANSWER THE
RAISED JOINING QUESTIONS
HEREIN PLEADING

TO: THE HONORABLE PRESIDING WILLIAM ALSUP, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, (ET AL.).

COMES NOW, Andre Toliver, petitioner, a State prisoner, who is proceeding with the immediate habeas corpus action in pro se, and moves the Court for "motion for the magistrate judge to act by ordering a evidentiary hearing be conducted while adjudicate of the merits to petition's raised ground iv claim (Viz. Pet. ground 4 claim); alternatively, answer the raised joining questions herein pleading" pursuant to Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, rule 8 (a)-(b)(1)&(2), and states, as follows:

With regards to the petition at its raised (Ground 4) alleged

violation claim insofar as this Petitioner asserts that "the trial court violated petitioner's rights when it denied him the right to represent himself." Thereto. Petitioner contends that there is a necessity for an evidentiary hearing to be conducted "so that this Petitioner may have the requested opportunity to take the witness stand and explain in full detail(s) the reasons' why Petitioner had wanted to represent himself at trial, including how the relationship between Petitioner and his trial attorney was so broken down that it prevented Petitioner from presenting a adequate defense at trial to prove actual innocence to certain charges." See i.e., Bland v. California Dep't. of Corrections, 20 F.3d 1469, 1479 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 115 S. Ct. 357 (1994) ("Bland set forth three factors -- timeliness and nature of the inquiry or absence of any inquiry, and any broken down communication between defendant and attorney that might have prevented an adequate defense.")

In the alternative to the above discussion, Petitioner herein mentioned acts to requests that the district court answer of each of the raised questions' below while acting to adjudicate of the merits to the petition's raised (Ground 4) violation claim (i.e., "function of the magistrate judge in accordence with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)"), and ask, as follows:

a) When the trial court acted to deny Petitioner of his right to represent of himself at trial, does the trial record show that Petitioner had engaged in outlandish behavior in the courtroom while attempting to knowingly and unequivocally waive his Sixth Amendment right to counsel? See Johnson v. Kelly, 633 F. Supp. 1245, 1248 (S.D.N.Y. 1986); Maxwell v. Summer, 673 F.2d 1031 (9th Cir. 1982);

b) When this Petitioner had wished to proceed pro se at his

c) When this Petitioner had ask the trial court for the opp-

ortunity to represent himself at trial, does the trial record show

any evidence that Petitioner had done so for the position or pur-

trial, had he made a "timely" and unequivocal request on the trial

court record? See United States v. Allen, 789 F.2d 90 (1st Cir.

1986); Meeks v. Craven, 482 F.2d 465, 467 (9th Cir. 1973);

1

8

11

15

27 28

ascertain whether the Petitioner knows about the rules of evidence and criminal procedure and will follow them. See Fritz v. Spalding, supra, noted at 682 F.2d 782, 784("court may not deprive of that right "to self-representation" absent an affirmative showing of pur

right "to self-representation" absent an affirmative showing of purpose to secure delay." (emphasis in original), see also Moreno v. Estelle, 717 F.2d 171, 175 (5th Cir. 1983) - Johnstone v. Kelly,

1. As noted above inside of MOTION. Petitioner contends that the

trial court failed to accertain whether the Petitioner was aware of the nature of the charges and the maximum possible sentence; and

Estelle, 717 F.2d 171, 175 (5th Cir. 1983) - Johnstone v. Kelly, 633 F. Supp. 1245, 1248. Here, the trial court abused its discretion while denying Petitioner of his Sixth Amendment right to self-representation at trial. See i.e., Armant v. Marquez, 772 F.2d 552,

555 (9th Cir. 1985).

pose of trying to delay of his trial? See United States v. Smith,

780 F.2d 810, 812 (9th Cir. 1986); Fritz v. Spalding, 682 F.2d 782,

784 (9th Cir. 1982); and

d) When this Petitioner had ask the trial court for the opportunity to represent himself at trial, does the trial record show

any evidence concerning where the trial judge had made sure that

Petitioner was aware of the dangers and disadvantages of selfrepresentation ("with any particular set forth reasons"), including
such areas as possible exclusion of evidence, self-incrimination,

and waiver of potential defenses? See United States v. Mitchell,

788 F.2d 1232 (7th Cir. 1986).1/

2 4

5

9

10

11

12

15

16

17 18

19

20

-21 22

23

24

28

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT said Petitioner having acted to file of the instant motion with the district court because it raised contents will be used as part of Petitioner's, "hypothetically speaking", set forth reasons' for raising objections to the report and recommendation by the magistrate judge in this case should Petitioner be not satisfied with the magistrate's findings of fact. $\frac{27}{2}$

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: February 4, 2008

by ande

ANDRE TOLIVER.

Petitioner In Pro Se

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, petitioner respectfully requests that the motion be granted insofar as to an evidentiary hearing be conducted; in the alternative, that the motion's raised questions' to the district court be answered.

Dated: February 4, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Petitioner In Pro Se.

Petitioner having acted to receive legal assistance from another State prisoner-convict, namely, Mr. Phillip B. Gunn, CDC# C-34848, for the purpose of drafting and filing of the instant motion into the 26 district court. Here, Petitioner only seeks to assure that his raised (Ground 4) claim is fully given consideration, and adjudicate of the 27 merits based on the available facts of the case.

Case 3:07-cv-02744-WHA Document 24 Filed 02/08/2008 Page 5 of 5 VERIFICATION

(C.C.P. §§ 446, 2015.5; 28 U.S.C. § 1746)

I am the Petitioner in the attached matter; I have read the foregoing document(s) and know the contents thereof; that the same is true of my own personal knowledge, and if called to testify as to the contents thereof, I could do so competently as a sworn wit-	I, Andre Toliver,	declare unde	r the penalty	of perjury
the foregoing document(s) and know the contents thereof; that the same is true of my own personal knowledge, and if called to testify as to the contents thereof, 1 could do so competently as a sworn witness. Executed this 4th day of February , 2008 , at Kern Valley State Prison, Delano, CA. 93216-5101.	that:			
State Prison, Delano, CA. 93216-5101. Under Tolica	the foregoing document(s) and same is true of my own person	know the contact knowledge	ntents thereon	f; that the ed to testify
	Executed this 4th day of State Prison, Delano, CA. 932	February 216-5101.	andre	Tolica

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

(C.C.P. §§ 446, 2015.5; 28 U.S.C. § 1746)

I, Phillip B. Gunn, CDC# C-34848, declare: That I am a resident of Kern Valley State Prison, Delano, California; I am over the age of 18 years; ([] I am / K] I am not) a party to the above entitled action; My address is P.O. Box 5101, Delano, California 93216-5101. I served the attached document(s) entitled;

MOTION FOR MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO ACT BY ORDERING A EVIDENTIARY HEARING BE CONDUCTED WHILE ADJUDICATE OF THE MERITS TO PETITION'S RAISED GROUND IV CLAIM, ALTERNATIVELY, ANSWER QUESTIONS, "ETC. On the persons/parties specified below by placing a true copy of said document(s) into a sealed envelope with the appropriate postage affixed thereto and placing said envelope(s) into the United States Mail in a deposit box provided for at the Kern Valley State Prison, Delano, California, addressed as follows:

SHARON R. WOODEN, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 108709, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000, San Francisco, CA 94102-3664.

There is First Class mail delivery service by the United States Mail at the places so addressed and/or regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the addresses above. Indecharemender the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this service on this 4th day of February , 2008 , at Kern Valley State Prison, Delano, Califrnia 93216-5101.

Declarant PHILLIP B. GUNN, C-34848